Distinguishing the effects of internal and forced atmospheric variability in climate networks
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Abstract. The fact that the climate on the earth is a highly complex dynamical system is well-known. In the last few decades great deal of effort has been focused on understanding how climate phenomena in one geographical region affects the climate of other regions. Complex networks are a powerful framework for identifying climate interdependencies. To further exploit the knowledge of the links uncovered via the network analysis (for, e.g., improvements in prediction), a good understanding of the physical mechanisms underlying these links is required. Here we focus on understanding the role of atmospheric variability, and construct climate networks representing internal and forced variability using the output of an ensemble of AGCM runs. A main strength of our work is that we construct the networks using MIOP (mutual information computed from ordinal patterns), which allows the separation of intraseasonal, intra-annual and interannual timescales. This gives further insight to the analysis of climatological data. The connectivity of these networks allows us to assess the influence of two main indices, NINO3.4 – one of the indices used to describe ENSO (El Niño–Southern oscillation) – and of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), by calculating the networks from time series where these indices were linearly removed. A main result of our analysis is that the connectivity of the forced variability network is heavily affected by “El Niño”: removing the NINO3.4 index yields a general loss of connectivity; even teleconnections between regions far away from the equatorial Pacific Ocean are lost, suggesting that these regions are not directly linked, but rather, are indirectly interconnected via El Niño, particularly at interannual timescales. On the contrary, on the internal variability network – independent of sea surface temperature (SST) forcing – the links connecting the Labrador Sea with the rest of the world are found to be significantly affected by NAO, with a maximum at intraannual timescales. While the strongest non-local links found are those forced by the ocean, the presence of teleconnections due to internal atmospheric variability is also shown.

1 Introduction

The existence of long-range teleconnections in climate is an established fact, as the atmosphere connects far-away regions through waves and advection of heat and momentum. This long-range coupling makes the complex network approach (Albert and Barabási, 2002) of the earth’s climate very attractive (Tsonis et al., 2006, 2008; Donges et al., 2009b). Climate networks are constructed by considering the earth as a regular grid of nodes and assigning links connecting two different nodes via an analysis of their similarity over a particular field. This approach has been used in the literature both on local and on global scales and for analyzing climate phenomena considering both linear and nonlinear interdependencies.

For example, the network approach has been recently used to analyze patterns of extreme monsoonal rainfall over South Asia (Malik et al., 2012), to infer early warning indicators for the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation collapse (van der Mheen et al., 2013), to gain insight into the origin of decadal climate variability (Tsonis and Swanson, 2012) and to study the El Niño phenomenon as an autonomous component of the climate network (Gozolchiani et al., 2011). Various methods for constructing climate networks have been proposed (computing information measures from temperature or geopotential fields, from daily or monthly data,
etc.) and the reliability and robustness of the networks uncovered have also been analyzed in terms of a critical comparison of the networks found with the various methods used (Paluš et al., 2011; Hlinka et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2013; Tirabassi and Masoller, 2013). A main conclusion of these studies is that it is crucial to analyze the robustness of the method used to quantify climate similarities because trends and serial correlations in the time series, as well as time lags, can significantly affect the topology of the network obtained.

This paper is focused on understanding the atmospheric variability by means of networks constructed from monthly averaged surface air temperature (SAT) anomalies.

Atmospheric variability can be considered, to first order, as a superposition of an internal part due to intrinsic dynamics, and an external part due to the variations of the boundary conditions, primarily given by the sea surface temperature (SST) forcing. These two components can be distinguished by using atmospheric general circulation models (AGCMs) forced with prescribed historical SSTs (Straus and Shukla, 2000; Barreiro et al., 2002; Molteni, 2003; Bracco et al., 2004) – see also the accompanying paper (Arizmendi et al., 2014) in this Special Issue.

The separation between internal and forced atmospheric variability is a standard procedure to study the impact of the oceans on the atmosphere and has led to important advances regarding our understanding of the dynamics involved. James (1995) and Trenberth (1997) provide two excellent summaries of the processes involved, mainly based on the propagation of Rossby waves and the generation of teleconnection patterns. Although there are some nonlinear secondary effects, the theory asserts that, to first order, the observed propagation and establishment of teleconnection patterns are linear.

Separating forced from internal atmospheric variability is also important because it can allow for improvements in climate prediction. In many geographical regions, the atmosphere is strongly influenced by SST variations that force persistent anomalies (Shukla, 1998). Because the evolution of the tropical oceans presents some predictability at timescales longer than the atmosphere, prediction of atmospheric variables beyond the chaotic timescale of 7–10 days is possible, provided that the atmospheric dynamics have been forced by the ocean (Shukla, 1998).

The usual modeling strategy to study predictability consists in forcing AGCMs with idealized or observed SST anomalies. This allows for investigating the response of the atmosphere to different boundary conditions and different initial conditions. If the time series of anomalies of a climatic field (e.g., SAT anomalies) is considered as a combination of internal and forced variability (e.g., $x = x_{\text{for}} + x_{\text{int}}$) the output of several numerical experiments initialized differently but forced with the same boundary conditions (i.e., same SST) can be used to separate the internal and forced variability. For each run $i$ it results in

$$x^i = x_{\text{for}}^i + x_{\text{int}}^i = x_{\text{for}} + x_{\text{int}}^i$$

(as $x_{\text{for}}$ does not depend on the initial conditions).

Averaging over $N$ runs yields

$$\bar{x} = x_{\text{for}} + (1/N) \sum_i x_{\text{int}}^i.$$  

If $N$ is large enough, the second term will be small, as each model run will have a different value. Thus, to the first order $\bar{x} \approx x_{\text{for}}$.

In other words, each time series $x^i$ can be separated into a part that changes from run to run, $x_{\text{int}}^i$, and a part that does not depend on the initial conditions (is forced by the boundary conditions only and is the same for all runs), $x_{\text{for}} \approx \bar{x}$.

This method allows for the construction of two types of networks, those in which the links represent similarities in internal atmospheric variability (referred to as internal variability network), and those in which the links represent similarities in forced atmospheric variability (the forced variability network).

The connectivity of these networks allows us to assess the influence of two main phenomena: El Niño (characterized by the Niño3.4 index) and the North Atlantic Oscillation (characterized by the NAO index). This was done by calculating the networks from time series where either the Niño3.4 index or the NAO index was linearly removed.

The forced variability networks is found to be intimately related to El Niño phenomenon and that linearly removing its evolution yields a breakdown of the long-range teleconnections of the climate network, particularly at interannual timescales. A similar result is observed for the internal variability network in the Northern Hemisphere when NAO is removed, with the maximum effect at intra-annual timescales.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 the method used for constructing climate networks is shown. The data and the model, employed as well as the Niño3.4 and NAO indices, are discussed in Sect. 3. Section 4 presents the results. Here the internal and forced variability networks, and the effects of NAO and El Niño are analyzed. Section 5 presents a summary and the conclusions.

2 Methods for network construction

2.1 Measure of statistical interdependence

The mutual information (MI) is computed from the probability density functions (PDFs) that characterize two time series in two nodes, $p_i$ and $p_j$, as well as their joint probability function, $p_{ij}$ (Cover and Thomas, 2006; Amigó, 2010; Paluš, 2007):

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{m,n} p_{ij}(m,n) \log \frac{p_{ij}(m,n)}{p_i(m)p_j(n)}.$$
$M_{ij}$ is a symmetric measure ($M_{ij} = M_{ji}$) of the degree of statistical interdependence of the time series in nodes $i$ and $j$; if they are independent $p_{ij}(m,n) = p_i(m)p_j(n)$, and thus $M_{ij} = 0$.

In this paper the PDFs $p_i$, $p_j$, and $p_{ij}$ are computed in two ways: by histograms of values (this case will be referred to as MIH) and by using a symbolic transformation, in terms of probabilities of ordinal patterns (this case will be referred to as MIOP) (Amigó, 2010; Pompe and Runge, 2011; Bandt and Pompe, 2002; Barreiro et al., 2011).

The ordinal patterns are calculated from time series by comparing the value of a given data point relative to its neighbors (Fig. 1). When a value ($v_2$) is higher than the previous one ($v_1$) and lower than the next one ($v_3$) ($v_1 < v_2 < v_3$), the ordinal transformation gives pattern “a” (see inset in Fig. 1); when $v_1 > v_2 > v_3$, it gives pattern “f”, and so forth. Considering patterns of length $D$, then there are $D!$ possible patterns.

A significant advantage of MIOP for climate data analysis over other methods is that the ordinal transformation allows us to select the timescale of the analysis, not only by considering shorter or longer patterns, but also, by comparing data points in the time series which are not consecutive, but separated by a time interval.

This symbolic transformation keeps the information about correlations present in a time series at the selected timescale, but does not keep information about the absolute values of the data points. Therefore, the mutual information computed from ordinal patterns (MIOP) can be expected to provide complementary information with respect to the standard method of computing the mutual information (MIH) with monthly averaged data and zero-lag regressions, adequate for many geographical regions of earth. However, there are some exceptions, and the analysis of lagged responses could be an interesting extension of the present study that is left for future work.

Monthly data in the period January 1948–December 2006 is analyzed. Due to the short length of the time series (708 data points), in order to compute the probabilities of the patterns with good statistics we have considered ordinal patterns of length three. Since for $D = 3$ there are six possible patterns, for the sake of consistency, the MIH is computed using histograms with 6 equi-sized bins.

We varied the timescale of the MIOP analysis by constructing the patterns in three ways (see Fig. 1): (1) by comparing temperature anomalies in 3 consecutive months (constructing patterns with three consecutive data points), (2) by comparing anomalies in 3 equally spaced months that cover a 1-year period (by taking one data point every four points), and (3) by comparing anomalies in the same month of 3 consecutive years (by taking one data point every twelve points). The MIOP computed in these ways is referred to as intraseasonal, intra-annual, and interannual, respectively. While constructing the patterns with one data point per season (i.e., every three points) could seem more useful – as seasons cover 3 months– we decided to use 3 data points per year because seasons are not well-defined worldwide (for example, in the tropics), but the seasonal cycle is.

2.2 Definition of links

To construct the network, a link between nodes $i$ and $j$ is defined if $M_{ij}$ is above an appropriate threshold, which is calculated in terms of surrogate-shuffled data as in Deza et al. (2013), where the data are shuffled before we calculate the histograms or the ordinal patterns. When defining the significance criterion for the links in climate networks there is always a degree of arbitrariness. As we have shown in our previous work, the distribution of MI values computed from surrogate data is approximately Gaussian (see Fig. 1 of Deza et al., 2013). Therefore, here we use a significance criterion computed in terms of the mean, $\mu$, and the standard deviation, $\sigma$, of the distribution of MI values computed from surrogate data, and accept links whose MI value, computed from the original data, is above $\mu + 3\sigma$.

While this is the simplest approach, it has well-known drawbacks (see, e.g., Schreiber and Schmitz, 1996; Paluš, 2007), and the use of block surrogates and/or quantile thresholds, as significance criterion will provide a valuable and computationally not too demanding improvement to the method used here.

2.3 Network representation

To represent the network we plot the area-weighted connectivity (AWC) of the nodes, which is the fraction of the total
area of earth to which each node is connected, that is,

\[ AWC_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{ij} \cos(\lambda_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos(\lambda_j)}, \]

where \( \lambda_i \) is the latitude of node \( i \) and \( A_{ij} = 1 \) if nodes \( i \) and \( j \) are connected, and 0 otherwise (Tsonis et al., 2008). It is of particular interest to identify significant weak links, as the strongest links are usually of shorter spatial range. In all the AWC maps presented in Sect. 4, the color scale has been set from 0 to a fixed value (0.4), and any node with stronger connectivity is shown with the color code of 0.4. This allows a clearer visualization the weakest part of the accepted significant links. It also allows for a direct comparison of all the AWC maps.

In Sect. 4 the significant connections of some selected geographical regions (represented by individual network nodes) are explored. In these connectivity maps the value of the interdependency measure (MIH or MIOP) will be displayed using a color scale which is also fixed, from 0 up to 0.3; MI values larger than this will be shown using the same color code as 0.3.

3 Data sets and model used

3.1 Climate indices

A climate index describes the state and changes of a particular region of the ocean or the atmosphere. Indices can be determined from monitoring station or reanalysis data, or identified by means of empirical orthogonal functions (EOF) analysis. In the latter case, they result in the principal component (PC) related to an EOF (generally the leading mode) over a chosen area, calculated for a predetermined variable (e.g., temperature or pressure). As explained below, the average of SST on the NINO3.4 area has been used for calculating the NINO3.4 index, and the leading PC over the North Atlantic region has been used to calculate NAO. The indices have been linearly detrended.

3.1.1 NINO 3.4

The NINO3.4 index (Trenberth, 1997) was calculated as the average of SST anomalies in the equatorial Pacific bounded by latitudes \( 5^\circ \) S–5\(^{\circ}\) N and by longitudes \( 120^\circ \) W–\( 170^\circ \) W, using the SST data incorporated by the model as a boundary condition for all the runs. The index, obtained in this way, has been compared with the monthly index from NOAA (2013), updated monthly, obtaining an excellent agreement. As this index is based on SST – a boundary condition for the AGCM – this phenomenon can be expected to affect mainly the forced part of the atmospheric variability.

3.1.2 NAO

The North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) has been shown to be mainly an atmospheric phenomenon only weakly forced by the ocean (Hurrel, 1995). The NAO index is calculated as the leading EOF of surface pressure over the North Atlantic region (\( 20^\circ \)–\( 80^\circ \) N and \( 90^\circ \) W–\( 40^\circ \) E) for each model run. Comparison among indices from different model runs and between these and the observed NAO index from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data yielded different time series modulating essentially the same spatial pattern. The NAO properties have been studied elsewhere (see Lind et al., 2005, and references therein), but for our purposes it is enough to assume that these series have the same power spectra as low frequency noise.

3.2 Model used

In this study the AGCM from the International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP AGCM) has been used. It consists of a full atmospheric model with simplified physics and a horizontal resolution of T30 (3.75\(^{\circ}\) \times 3.75\(^{\circ}\), which gives \( N = 608 \) grid points or network nodes) with eight vertical levels (Molteni, 2003). The model is forced with historical global sea surface temperatures (ERSSTv.2) (Smith and Reynolds, 2004). In order to separate forced from internal atmospheric variability, nine runs using the same boundary (SSTs) conditions (but slightly different initial conditions) were performed.

In our experiment design SST is taken as a boundary condition and it is not changed by the atmospheric flow. In the real world there is a two-way interaction between the ocean and the atmosphere. This limitation is especially important in the extratropics where the SST evolution strongly depends on the atmospheric forcing (Frankignoul and Hasselmann, 1977; Barsugli and Battisti, 1998). However, current understanding indicates that the atmosphere is most sensitive to SST anomalies in the tropics and thus the forced atmospheric variability will be related to the evolution of the tropical oceans (Trenberth et al., 1998). This model setup allows, as explained in the introduction, to separate the forced and internal components of the atmospheric variability. While an ensemble of only nine model runs might seem insufficient for a robust estimation of the forced response, as it could be contaminated by noise due to the relatively small ensemble size, it will be shown that the results found here are consistent with well-known climate phenomena, indicating that, at least at the “first order” description of the network via AWC, nine model runs are enough to separate forced and internal variability. This is consistent with previous works that show that an ensemble of about 10 runs is enough to separate internal and forced variability in most places (e.g., Barreiro, 2009; Barreiro and Díaz, 2011; Pohlmann and Latif, 2005; Seager et al., 2010). More sophisticated methods for identifying the forced variability despite the small-ensemble noise
contamination are discussed in Allen and Smith (1997), Ven-
zie et al. (1999), Barreiro et al. (2002, 2005), and Ting et al.
(2009).

Monthly averaged air surface temperature (SAT) in the pe-
riod January 1948–December 2006 was analyzed. This re-
results in a total of 708 data points per node. For each node,
the time series were linearly detrended and the anomalies of
these series were computed by subtracting the long term av-
earage to each monthly data point.

The influence of NINO3.4 or NAO indices was assessed by
computing time series where one of these indices was
linearly removed from the original time series, respectively.
This was done in three steps:

1. calculate the indices, as explained above;

2. perform a zero-lag regression of the time series of

each node with respect to the time series of the index
(see Fig. 2);

3. subtract the linear regression from the original data.

In the following section it is shown that this procedure ef-
effectively removes the linear contribution of the given index
in the evolution of each node (Rodwell et al., 1999; Barreiro,
2009). However, this simple approach for assessing the influ-
ence of an index could be improved in two ways: on the one
hand, nonlinear methods for calculating the index could be
considered (see e.g., Gámez et al., 2004), on the other hand,
lagged regressions could be considered.

To validate the model (see Sect. 4.1) we considered re-
analysis data from NCEP/NCAR (Kalnay et al., 1996) in the
same time period (1948–2006). Since NCEP/NCAR reanaly-
sis data are given on a 2.5° × 2.5° grid, for easier comparison
it was resampled using bilinear interpolation of the gridded
data to fit the grid of the ICTP-AGCM data. The detrended
and normalized anomalies were computed as stated with the
model data.

4 Results

4.1 Model validation

While the ICTP-AGCM model has been used extensively in
the literature (see, e.g., Bracco et al., 2004; Kucharski et al.,
2005; Molteni, 2003; Barreiro, 2009, and references therein),
the model has not yet been validated in the context of cli-
mate networks. Therefore, the first step of our study is to
validate the model by comparing the networks obtained from
one model run with the networks obtained from reanalysis
data (Deza et al., 2013).

This can be done by comparing Fig. 3 with Fig. 4. Figure 3a
displays the AWC map computed from reanalysis
data using MIH as an interdependency measure; Fig. 4a dis-
plays the AWC map computed from one model run, also us-
ing MIH. Clearly, the model is able to capture the same over-
all pattern of global connectivity with a maximum in the cen-
tral tropical Pacific, relative maxima in the tropical Atlantic
and Indian oceans and over Alaska, the Labrador Sea and the
Southern Ocean. Differences are mainly in the magnitude
of the AWC, with the model underestimating the connectivity
in most places. Similar observation applies to the compari-
son between Fig. 3b, c, and d and the corresponding panel in
Fig. 4, where the network was built using the MIOP as an
interdependency measure.

Figure 4a shows the AWC using MIH and thus reveals
global interdependencies of all the time series: Fig. 4b–
d show the AWC using MIOP in intraseasonal, intra-
annual and interannual timescales, respectively. Clearly, the
connectivity increases as the timescale increases, in good
agreement with the results found in Deza et al. (2013) using
reanalysis data. Many other features of the AWC maps
are also qualitatively well reproduced by the model.

While the networks obtained from AGCM and reanalysis
data, when visualized via the AWC, look qualitatively very
similar, quantitative differences are seen, for example, with
respect to the spatial extent of the structures. These differ-
ences might be relevant, especially if more sophisticated net-
work measures were to be used. Nevertheless, the good qual-
itative agreement between networks constructed from model
and reanalysis data lets us focus on using model output to
distinguish the networks associated with intrinsic and forced
atmospheric variability.

4.2 AWC maps

4.2.1 Forced variability

The AWC maps presented in Fig. 4 for one run of the model
contain information on both forced and internal variability.
To analyze forced variability only, we have constructed the

![Figure 2. Graphical representation of the linear index-removal pro-
dure. The SAT anomalies are compared at zero lag with the index
(in this case NINO3.4 SST anomalies) and a linear regression is
performed (in red).](image-url)
In this work, the authors have explored the internal and forced atmospheric variability in climate networks, focusing on the statistical interdependencies between different regions of the globe. The methodology involved the computation of indices that capture the variability, followed by the removal of linear contributions to highlight the forced response. Nonlinear methods were employed to further refine the indices, and the results were validated using reanalysis data from NCEP/NCAR.

Figure 3 shows maps of AWC constructed from reanalysis NCEP/NCAR data, highlighting the statistical interdependencies on intraseasonal, intra-annual, and interannual timescales. The color scale is consistent across all panels, providing a visual validation of the model. Comparing panel (a) with Fig. 3(a) and panel (d) with Fig. 3(b), it is observed that the main features of the maps are the same, indicating the model's ability to capture the overall pattern of global connectivity.

Figure 4 illustrates maps of AWC obtained from single model runs, with the interdependencies quantified using MIH and MIOP. The maps reveal the model's capacity to capture the same overall pattern of global connectivity with a maximum in the central regions. Figure 3 with Fig. 4 clearly demonstrates the model's ability to capture the same overall pattern of global connectivity with a maximum in the central regions. This comparison underscores the model's effectiveness in simulating atmospheric variability.

In conclusion, the study provides insights into the internal and forced atmospheric variability, emphasizing the importance of nonlinear methods in accurately identifying and separating these components of climate variability.
network from averaged time series (over nine model runs), as explained in Sect. 1.

The results are presented in Fig. 5. Figure 5a displays the AWC map when the MIH is used to quantify statistical interdependencies. Here, connectivity is higher in the tropics and on the Pacific, Indian and Atlantic basins than in the rest of the world. It is worth noting that while tropical connectivity is relatively symmetrical around the equator for the Pacific and Indian oceans, the North Atlantic is significantly more connected than in the south of the equator. Figure 5b–d show that the connectivity of the forced variability increases with the timescale. At intraseasonal timescales connectivity is very low compared with the connectivity from Fig. 5a. If we increase the timescale to intra-annual – as in Fig. 5c – the entire tropical area becomes more connected than the extratropics, indicating a better longitudinal energy and momentum exchange. Forced by the tropical Pacific SST anomalies, a long-range strong teleconnection is found in Alaska (Ropelewski and Halpert, 1987). For interannual timescales (3 years) within the period of the El Niño events (from 2 to 7 years) many very connected areas are found, especially in the tropics but also in the extratropics. The presence of highly connected spots is observed in the extratropics, especially in the Pacific Basin, but also in the Indian and Atlantic oceans.

Comparing these three maps with that in Fig. 5a which, as explained before, was computed via MIH and thus contains information from all the time series, it can be inferred that most of the connections seen in Fig. 5a occur at long timescales, because they are clear only in Fig. 5d, and are weak or not seen in Fig. 5b, c.

Figure 6 represents the same maps as Fig. 5 but after removing the NINO3.4 index, as explained in Sect. 3.2. Figures 5a and 6a show large differences. It is clear that the signal of El Niño in the tropical Pacific was successfully removed, and moreover, the connection hotspots in the extratropics were also removed, indicating that they were mainly forced by El Niño. However, a few small well-connected areas remain over the equatorial Pacific, indicating that a linear regression is not sufficient to fully eliminate the ENSO effect on the network connectivity.

The Caribbean and North Atlantic are the largest regions that maintain a similar AWC, even after Niño has been removed. Note, however, that the instantaneous regression does not completely remove the ENSO signal if there is a lag in the response. This is so in the tropical North Atlantic (Chang et al., 2000), where El Niño affects sea surface temperature through heat flux changes that, given the ocean’s heat capacity, take a few months to induce an anomaly. Thus, this

Figure 5. Maps of AWC computed from averaged time series, and thus containing information only of the forced component of atmospheric variability. The quantifiers of statistical similarity are as in Fig. 4: (a) MIH, (b) MIOP intraseasonal, (c) intra-annual, and (d) interannual. It can be noticed that in the shorter timescale the tropical area, especially the Pacific Ocean has a weak influence, and it grows stronger with increasing timescale. The fact that the maps in (a) and (d) are similar suggests that most of the links uncovered by the MIH, (a), actually reflect interdependencies in the longer timescale and thus, are seen in (d).
might be a reason for the still large connectivity observed in the Caribbean in Fig. 6a.

Other areas, like over China and central Asia, which are weakly connected to the El Niño phenomenon, show the same connectivity in Figs. 5 and 6. The fact that areas not related to ENSO do not change when removing the index hints that the statistical test used to fix the network density is robust and allows us to compare maps with and without the index.

Figure 6b is very similar to Fig. 5b except on the absence of a connected (dark blue) area on the Pacific Ocean, suggesting that the influence of El Niño at these timescales is very low and restricted to the tropical Pacific. At intra-annual timescales, Fig. 6c shows the disappearance of many links from the corresponding Fig. 5c. This suggests that at this timescale, even if the El Niño signal is not as strong as on interannual scales, it is already connecting far-away tropical and extratropical areas such as Alaska (Chiang and Sobel, 2002). Thus, removing the El Niño signal very heavily affects the connectivity of the network. For longer timescales — shown in Fig. 6d — the scenario is similar as for Fig. 6a with only a remnant of connectivity in the tropical region.

4.2.2 Internal variability

Figure 7 shows AWC maps of internal variability, computed by averaging the nine AWC maps obtained from the individual model runs, where in each time series, the forced signal (the average of the nine runs) was removed as explained in the Introduction. Contrary to the forced variability case presented before, in this case the most connected areas are on the extratropics. This is consistent with the results of previous figures, and indicates that in the tropics the ocean forces the largest portion of atmospheric variability. As the tropical atmosphere cannot sustain horizontal gradients generated by SST anomalies, it induces vertical movements of air, convection and release of latent heat, thus giving rise to atmospheric circulation anomalies.

In the extratropics internal atmospheric variability is larger, leading to stronger connections. The larger connectivity in the Northern Hemisphere suggests that the large land masses affect atmospheric variability, which is consistent with our current understanding of storm track dynamics and low-frequency transients (James, 1995).

The most connected spot in Fig. 7a is over the Labrador Sea. The rest of the highly connected areas (in green) are present mostly in the Northern Hemisphere. In the Southern Hemisphere connectivity is largest over the Southern Ocean. Investigation over this well-connected area near Antarctica
Figure 7. Maps of averaged AWC, revealing the internal variability network (see text for details). The statistical interdependencies are quantified as in Fig. 4 (a) MIH, MIOP (b) intraseasonal, (c) intra-annual, and (d) interannual. It can be noticed that in this network, the timescale showing more connectivity is the intra-annual timescale. This is consistent with the shorter memory of the atmosphere compared with the ocean.

– only found using MIH to quantify interdependencies – showed that in this area histograms have a higher skewness than in the rest of the nodes, an effect that has also been reported and discussed in Hlinka et al. (2014). This effect is found on the internal-plus-forced AWC map of Fig. 4a, and using reanalysis data as shown in Fig. 3a. When considering other measures to quantify interdependencies, such as Pearson cross correlation or MIOP, the AWC maps do not show high connectivity in this region (Deza et al., 2013).

With respect to the AWC maps computed by using MIOP, in contrast to the forced case, the intraseasonal, intra-annual and interannual maps are very similar to each other. This is a sign of “multiscale variability”; in other words, variability distributed over many timescales. Internal variability cycles are less well defined, with spectra similar to “red” noise. It can be seen that the most connected AWC map is the intra-annual one, stronger than both the intraseasonal and the interannual, consistent with the fact that atmospheric anomalies are less persistent than oceanic ones (Hasselmann, 1976; Barsugli and Battisti, 1998).

The fact that the most connected area in Fig. 7a is over the Labrador Sea suggests that it is related to NAO. In order to verify this, we have removed NAO from the time series using the same procedure as with NINO3.4, explained above. The results are shown in Fig. 8. Here, indeed the Labrador connected area disappears in all the panels, while the connectivity unrelated to NAO (i.e., over the Southern Hemisphere or China) remains almost unchanged.

4.3 Node connectivity maps

AWC maps provide information about the connectivity of the geographical regions, but no information about the nature – spatial range or distribution – of the links. It is expected that nearby points behave similarly and this leads to high values of correlation between nearby places (Radebach et al., 2013; Donges et al., 2009a). The distance over which the climate variables are well connected is related with the Rossby radius of deformation (RRD) (James, 1995), which is the distance that a particle or wave travels before being significantly affected by the earth’s rotation. Also, in the tropics, this proximity effect can be greatly enhanced, as there the information is propagated very fast longitudinally. Here we are interested in unveiling the presence of teleconnections, that is, connections between regions separated by more than the RRD.

The following plots display the connections of a node, indicated with “X”. Figures display MIH in the left column and MIOP in the right column. The timescale of the MIOP maps is interannual for the forced variability network and intra-annual for the internal variability network, following the above results. As explained in Sect. 2.3, since we are interested in unveiling weak but long-range significant links, we have saturated the color scale for nearby links. In this...
way we are able to see the weak links with good resolution, losing information for the stronger links (stronger than 0.3 on the arbitrary scale of MI, where the highest links have values of 1 or 2 on the same scale, as shown in Deza et al., 2013) which will be all represented with the same color.

4.3.1 Forced variability

Figure 9 shows the connections of a point in the central Pacific Ocean in the forced variability network. It is clear from the comparison of the maps in the first row that most links are interannual links.

Figure 9c and d display the same node connectivity maps as in Fig. 9a and b respectively, however, in this case the NINO3.4 index has been removed from the time series and thus (to first order) they do not contain links due to El Niño phenomenon. The differences between Fig. 9a and Fig. 9c and between Fig. 9b and Fig. 9d are evident. First, after eliminating the effects of El Niño the tropical and extratropical teleconnection patterns associated to the spot in the Pacific disappear independently of the methodology used to quantify interdependencies (MIH or MIOP): the connectivity becomes restricted to the tropical Pacific Basin. Even inside this region the connectivity is greatly decreased as seen by a much smaller red spot of links over 0.3, although the remaining connections indicate that, either a linear regression is not enough to fully remove the influence of El Niño, or the ENSO dynamics is not fully represented by the NINO3.4 index.

According to Fig. 9a and b, Alaska is an area well connected to the equatorial Pacific Ocean. To further investigate, Fig. 10 shows global connections to a point near Alaska. It can be seen in Fig. 10a and b that it indeed presents connections to the equatorial Pacific Ocean with a maximum close to the dateline.

Furthermore, connections to the southern Pacific Ocean, Central Africa, Indian Ocean and even the Drake Passage are found. These connections are stronger in Fig. 10b, especially those linking Alaska with the Indian and southern Atlantic Ocean and the Drake Passage. If we remove NINO3.4 we find a dramatic change in the maps. Connections become almost local and all the north–south teleconnections are lost; only connections probably associated with an imperfect removal of the El Niño signal remain. This indicates that there are no direct teleconnections between Alaska and (for example) the Drake Passage, but both are strongly connected to El Niño. As these networks are constructed using symmetrical measures of dependency, calculated directly from the data, they are unable to distinguish between a direct connection and an indirect one.

Figure 11 is as Figs. 9 and 10, but for a node in the Southern Hemisphere extratropics. We chose southern New...
Figure 9. Connectivity map of a node in the central Pacific (indicated with X). Panels (a) and (b) are computed from forced time series (averaging over nine model realizations); panels (c) and (d) are also computed from forced time series, but with ENSO3.4 linearly removed and thus not containing –to the first order– contributions due to El Niño. In (a), (c) interdependencies are quantified via MIH; in (b), (d) via MIOP interannual timescale.

Figure 10. As Fig. 9 but regarding a node near Alaska (indicated with X). Compared to Fig. 9 one can notice that the teleconnection between this region and the Pacific is due mainly to El Niño.
Zealand because it shows a relatively high forced density (seen in Fig. 5a, b) and it is connected to the selected point over the tropical Pacific of Fig. 9a, b. Figure 11a shows connectivity between the chosen point and the Pacific and Indian oceans, as well as wave patterns (probably a Rossby wave train) along the extratropics. Figure 11b adds information to Fig. 11a, showing that these teleconnections are of interannual type. If we remove NINO3.4 (Fig. 11c, d) not surprisingly the links to the tropical Pacific disappear, but also some of the connectivity to the Indian Ocean, suggesting that part of the links with the Indian Ocean are indirect. Nevertheless, the extra-tropical wave train remains, and Fig. 11d suggests that the wave train may be forced by the Indian Ocean at interannual timescales. As in the previous figure, some weak north–south teleconnections are found, but they disappear if we remove the NINO3.4 index, indicating again an indirect connection between the extratropics through the Pacific Ocean.

### 4.3.2 Internal variability

Figure 12 displays the internal variability connections of a node over the most connected area of Fig. 7. The average of the resulting nine different connectivity maps is shown. In the left column the connectivity computed using MIH is displayed, while in the right column, the intra-annual scale is shown, using MIOP. This timescale shows the strongest response for internal variability. In Fig. 12a the original internal variability connections are shown, revealing teleconnections extending over the Northern Hemisphere, especially over Scandinavia, Mediterranean Europe, the east coast of North America and the tropical North Atlantic. Figure 12a also shows connections to eastern China and the Aleutian islands. The pattern shown in Fig. 12b mainly corresponds to the known influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation. This is further substantiated in Fig. 12c and d of the same figure, where the NAO influence is removed and the connections of the Labrador Sea, particularly in the northern Atlantic Basin, are strongly weakened.

### 5 Summary and conclusions

The monthly variability of the surface air temperature field has been decomposed into a part forced by the ocean temperature, and another due to internal atmospheric variability. This has been performed using an ensemble of nine AGCM runs forced with the same SST data, and starting from slightly different initial conditions. The model data was firstly validated by observing a qualitative agreement between the networks constructed from one model run and those constructed from reanalysis data. Afterwards, climate networks were constructed from model data, for the forced and for the internal variability components, using mutual information to assess the interdependencies between the time

---

**Figure 11.** As Fig. 9 but regarding a node near New Zealand (indicated with X). In panels (b) and (d) the MIOP is adjusted to interannual timescale. Compare with Figs. 9 and 10.
series. Ordinal patterns have been used in order to separate and determine the strength of the links at different timescales.

While the main conclusions of our analysis (the connectivity of the forced variability network is heavily affected by El Niño, whereas that of the internal variability network is significantly affected by the NAO) are not new, new information has been uncovered, as ordinal analysis allows us to study these phenomena on different timescales. This has revealed that most of the links detected in the forced variability proceed from long timescales, while the contributions of intra-annual timescales to the internal variability are the most important. This work also opens the possibility of studying how various network measures, such as the average path length, assortativity, clustering coefficient, betweenness, etc. depend on the timescale considered for quantifying statistical interdependencies.

Another conclusion of this work is that forced and internal atmospheric variability are characterized by very different networks. Because the separation of internal and forced variability done here requires averaging over several model runs, the networks obtained here could not have been obtained from observational/reanalysis data only. It is shown that the forced variability is stronger in the tropics, while the internal variability peaks in the mid-latitudes. The network of forced variability has the strongest connections at interannual timescales. Long-range teleconnections from the tropics to the extratropics and even from different hemispheres in the forced network were observed and explained by the influence of El Niño. On the other hand, the network of internal atmospheric variability has the strongest connections in the extratropics, and it was found that connections to the Labrador Sea are heavily affected by the North Atlantic Oscillation.

This study is focused on the lowest levels of the atmosphere. A complementary analysis is performed in the companion paper by Arizmendi et al. (2014), devoted to the study of the evolution of the upper atmosphere during the 20th century and aiming at distinguishing the oceanically forced component from the atmospheric internal variability on different timescales. The methodology proposed here for distinguishing links in spatial range (short and long), timescale (intraseasonal, intra-annual and interannual) and type of variability (forced vs. internal) is a novel approach for the study of climate networks that provides new insight into the climatological meaning of the links found, and their connection to physical phenomena.
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